EXHIBIT A

Case 3:14-cv-03985-JD Document 258-3 Filed 03/13/17 Page 2 of 4 CONFIDENTIAL

1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
5	Case No. 3:14-CV-03985-JD		
6	TELESOCIAL INC.,		
7	Plaintiff,		
8	v.		
9	ORANGE S.A., a French corporation,		
	ANNE BENRIKHI, an individual,		
10	DIMITRI DELMAS, an individual,		
	OLIVIER GODINIAUX, an individual,		
11	GUILLAUME GUIMOND, an individual,		
	FABRICE PETESCH, an individual,		
12	JACQUES VIEL, an individual,		
	BARBARA BOBILLIER, an individual,		
13	BENOIT AMET, an individual,		
	THOMAS LESENECHAL, an individual,		
14	FLORIAN DE SA, an individual,		
	ANTOINE DECOUTTEUX,		
15	and SYLVAIN JAUDRY, an individual,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	CONFIDENT IAL		
19	Videotaped Deposition of YLVA RAHM		
20	On 30th of September, 2016 at 9:33 a.m.		
21	Held at the offices of:		
22	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP		
23	6 Rue Lamennais, 75008 Paris, France		
24			
25	Taken by AILSA WILLIAMS, Certified Court Reporter		
	Page 1		

Case 3:14-cv-03985-JD Document 258-3 Filed 03/13/17 Page 3 of 4 CONFIDENTIAL

1	everybody, but we didn't get to anywhere with	17:07
2	anybody until we had to stop working, for what	17:07
3	happened, and so that is my take on what happened	17:07
4	to the company. It was ruined by what happened.	17:07
5	Q. What prevented Telesocial from doing	17:07
6	a deal with US operators?	17:07
7	MR. MYRE: Object to form.	17:07
8	Q. Give me all the reasons?	17:07
9	A. As I said, I don't think anything	17:07
10	prevented us from doing anything, other than the	17:07
11	fact that we had somebody copy our idea and launch	17:07
12	it as their own, which made that idea already on	17:07
13	the market, although it wasn't, but we couldn't	17:07
14	sell it anymore, so we had no more business and	17:07
15	that is why we didn't sell it to anybody. It was	17:07
16	a great product.	17:08
17	Q. If it was a great product, why	17:08
18	didn't anyone buy it?	17:08
19	MR. MYRE: Object to form.	17:08
20	A. That is what I said. We had lots of	17:08
21	interest, we had genuine interest. To talk for	17:08
22	a month with a mobile operator means that there is	17:08
23	genuine interest. Otherwise nobody would take	17:08
24	their time and have several resources work	17:08
25	I worked for mobile operators, so I know exactly	17:08
	Page 204	

Case 3:14-cv-03985-JD Document 258-3 Filed 03/13/17 Page 4 of 4 CONFIDENTIAL

1	the way they are structured and how they work and	17:08
2	what they decide to do. So if they would put	17:08
3	several resources to work on a project, whether it	17:08
4	is preparing for a trial or looking into	17:08
5	a potential partner or a possible project, it will	17:08
6	mean that they are seriously interested in it.	17:08
7	And if somebody does that for several months, it	17:08
8	confirms that they are very, very interested. So	17:08
9	I don't think that we did not make any deals	17:08
10	because we had a bad product. Quite the opposite.	17:08
11	If somebody wants to copy our technology, it means	17:09
12	that probably they have seen the value in it. So	17:09
13	I think that is one clear proof that we had found	17:09
14	something that was unique, a gap in the market.	17:09
15	Q. So if the idea was so great, what	17:09
16	prevented you from making a lot of money from that	17:09
17	idea?	17:09
18	MR. MYRE: Object to form.	17:09
19	A. I think, as I said, what prevented	17:09
20	us was really the fact that somebody stole our	17:09
21	idea and launched it as their own. So we had no	17:09
22	possibility of selling it anymore, marketing it	17:09
23	anymore.	17:09
24	Q. Is your answer complete?	17:09
25	A. Yes.	17:09
	Page 205	